

SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84101

United States Patent and Trademark Office

CINITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Guited States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/804,313 03/19/2004 Sung Soon Kang 2566.2.44 7301 EXAMINER 21552 7590 08/24/2004 MADSON & METCALF GREEN, ANTHONY J **GATEWAY TOWER WEST** ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER SUITE 900 15 WEST SOUTH TEMPLE 1755

DATE MAILED: 08/24/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary	10/804,313	KANG, SUNG SOO	KANG, SUNG SOON	
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Anthony J. Green	1755		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet wil	h the correspondence addr	'ess	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a re ply within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te, cause the application to become AB	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. (HS from the mailing date of this common the mailing date).	munication.	
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			•	
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☑ Thi	is action is non-final.	(2	1	
3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under	•	• •	nerits is	
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	awn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac	cepted or b) objected to b	y the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyand	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	• - •	•	` ,	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Apority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National St	age	
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ımmary (PTO-413)		
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-1) _	52)	

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority based on an application filed in Republic of Korea on 02/06/04. It is noted, however, that applicant has not filed a certified copy of the foreign application as required by 35 U.S.C. 119(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In the preamble of claim 1 the term "improved" is confusing as it is unclear as to how the permeable concrete is improved. Improved as compared to what? Clarification is requested. The phrase "high-performance" renders the claim vague and indefinite as "high" is a relative term. The phrase "being substituted for particulates of blast furnace slag" is confusing as it is unclear as to whether or not applicant is trying to say that a the blast furnace slag replaces a portion of the slag. Clarification is requested. The phrases "the compressive strength" and "the permeability coefficient" appear to lack proper antecedent basis. Applicant should replace the term "the" with "a" which would overcome this lack of antecedent basis.

In claim 2 the phrase "can be rubble aggregates" is not a positive claim limitation. It is unclear as to what is meant by the phrase "and comprise 10-30% of the aggregates

Art Unit: 1755

of the size 5mm or less". Is applicant trying to say that 10-30% of the aggregates is made of ones having a particle size of 5mm or less or what? Clarification is requested.

In claims 5 and 6, the phrase "such as" renders the claim indefinite because it is. unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention and the scope of the claim is unascertainable. See MPEP § 2173.05(d). The phrase "including urethane powder" is not understood. Is applicant saying that urethane powder is a required component? The phrase "the surface" (first occurrence) appears to lack proper antecedent basis.

References Cited By The Examiner

4. The references are cited as showing the general state of the art and as such, they are not seen to teach or fairly suggest the instant invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anthony J. Green whose telephone number is 571-272-1367. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 6:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark L. Bell can be reached on 571-272-1362. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1755

August 20, 2004